



NATIONAL DISTRICT ATTORNEYS ASSOCIATION

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: DEC. 21, 2023

Supreme Court Case to Reshape Forensic Science: *NDAAs Leads Charge for Modern Justice*

Arlington, VA – The National District Attorneys Association (NDAAs), the country's foremost organization representing prosecutors, has taken a stance in the landmark Supreme Court case, [Jason Smith v. State of Arizona](#), with the [submission of an influential amicus brief](#). This case will set a transformative precedent in the intersection of forensic science and constitutional rights, potentially reshaping the future of criminal justice.

In this pivotal case, the core question revolves around whether a forensic scientist in a criminal trial can legally base their testimony on the analysis conducted by another scientist who is not present in court. The [NDAAs brief](#), which has garnered the support of over 40 state prosecutor associations, finds that such practices align with the Confrontation Clause of the Sixth Amendment and are crucial for the effective administration of justice.

The NDAAs, representing over 6,000 members, highlights the importance of evolving legal interpretations in step with advancements in forensic science. The association stresses that an overly stringent interpretation of the Confrontation Clause could lead to judicial inefficiencies and hinder the prosecution of many cases.

NDAAs President and State's Attorney for Frederick County, Maryland, J. Charles Smith, III, asserts, "We stand at a critical juncture where the path we choose will redefine justice delivery. Our brief urges the Court to recognize the practicalities of modern forensic science without compromise to any constitutional rights. The requirement for every forensic analyst to testify is impractical and serves as an impediment to the timely and effective administration of justice for all."

This case is set to establish a significant legal precedent, influencing the use and admissibility of forensic evidence in courtrooms nationwide. The NDAAs emphasizes the need for public awareness and informed discourse on this issue, considering its potential to reshape the justice landscape.

"Forensic science plays an indispensable role in our justice system," states **Nelson Bunn, Executive Director of the NDAAs**. "The outcome of this case will resonate beyond courtrooms and legal texts. It's about how justice is served in an era of scientific innovation. We cannot overemphasize the gravity of this decision — it's a defining moment for justice in our modern age."

The NDAAs remains committed to promoting a justice system that is both fair and attuned to the realities of modern science. As this case unfolds, the association calls upon the Supreme Court to weigh the far-reaching implications of its decision carefully.

###

About the National District Attorneys Association:

Formed in 1950, NDAAs is the oldest and largest national organization representing state and local prosecutors in the country. With more than 6,000 members representing over two-thirds of the state and local prosecutors' offices, NDAAs is recognized as the leading source of national expertise on the prosecution function and is a valuable resource for the media, academia, government, and community leaders. NDAAs's mission is to provide state and local prosecutors with the knowledge, skills, and support they need to ensure that justice is done and that public safety rights are protected.

Contact: Natalie Harrell, NDAAs Director of Communications, 703-519-1644/ nharrell@ndaajustice.org